

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/966,592	Applicant(s) NAKABO, AKINOBU	
	Examiner Steven S. Paik	Art Unit 2876	

All Participants:

(1) Steven S. Paik.

(2) Taylor Jordan (Reg. No. 55,309).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 February 2004

Time: 09:30am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 12, and 21

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Re claims 1, 12, and 21, the examiner pointed out a few phrases that lack a proper antecedent basis. The applicant's representative has agreed and authorized for the Examiner's Amendment to correct the issue.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


MICHAEL G. LEE
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)